MAGNITUDE OF ASTIGMATISM AMONG ADULTS ATTENDING THE REFRACTION UNIT AT MUHIMBILI NATIONAL HOSPITAL EYE CLINIC

By

Dr. Anuradha. Babulal Baria, MD

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Medicine (Ophthalmology) of the Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences.

Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences

October, 2012

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certify that they have read and hereby recommend for acceptance by Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences a dissertation titled: "Magnitude of astigmatism among adults attending the refraction unit at Muhimbili National Hospital eye clinic", in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Medicine (Ophthalmology) of the Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences.

Dr. Dilawar Padhani				
(Supervisor)				
Date	·			
Dr. Milka Mafwiri				
	(Co- supervisor)			
Date				

DECLARATION

AND

COPYRIGHT

I, Dr. Anuradha Baria, declare that this dissertation is my own of	original work and that it has			
not been presented and will not be presented to any other university for a similar or any other				
degree award.				
Signature	Date			

This dissertation is a copyright material protected under the Berne Convention, the Copyright Act of 1999 and other international and national enactments, in that behalf, on intellectual property. It may not be reproduced by any means, in full or in part, except for short extracts in fair dealings; for research or private study, critical scholarly review or discourse with an acknowledgement, without the written permission of the Directorate of Postgraduate Studies, on behalf of both author and the Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to convey my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Dilawar Padhani and Dr. Milka Mafwiri for their guidance throughout the preparation of this dissertation. Their inputs, critique, and encouragement enabled this work to go smoothly.

I would like to extend my special thanks to Dr. Anna Sanyiwa, the head of the Department of Ophthalmology (MUHAS) who tirelessly guided me throughout my training in Ophthalmology. Her patience, warmth and understanding are remarkable and without whom the completion of this work would have not been possible. I admire your leadership and determination.

The collaboration of Mr. Charles Chihoma, the Optometrist and his team was really remarkable. I am deeply indebted for all their help and support during data collection.

I am grateful to the management of Muhimbili National Hospital for allowing me to conduct my study in this hospital and to Dr. C. Mataka, the head of the Department of Ophthalmology (MNH) and the Medical Records team for facilitating me during this dissertation period.

I thank all my teachers for their guidance, advice and understanding during this course work with special thanks to Prof. J. Sangawe who is so full of wisdom. You deserve a long and healthy life.

I would like to acknowledge the sacrifice, guidance, patience, criticism and advice from my dear husband, Dr. Arun Kumar Valmiki and mention my beloved daughter Sneha Chaitanya, who deserves a lot more than I could give throughout this demanding period. Thank you very much.

Last but not least, I am grateful to the Almighty God, for giving me health and capability to accomplish this task.

DEDICATION

To my beloved parents Smt. Usha and Sri. Babulal Baria.

And to my beloved daughter Sneha Chaitanya.

ABSTRACT

Background: The magnitude of astigmatism among adults is not only high but also has a wide variation worldwide. ^{12, 13, 33} The symptoms associated with morbidity of astigmatism pose many challenges in patient's performance. If astigmatism remains uncorrected, it may be associated with poor quality of life, employment prospects, productivity and general health. Uncorrected refractive errors especially astigmatism causes frequent hospital visits with unnecessary expenditure for individuals and governments at large. In many developing countries including Tanzania, there is insufficient data on its magnitude among adults.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted between June and December 2011 to determine the magnitude of astigmatism among adults attending the refraction unit at Muhimbili National Hospital eye clinic. A total of 1250 patients aged 18 years and above attending refraction unit were enrolled. Both presenting and best corrected visual acuities were taken using Snellen's chart. After thorough evaluation by ophthalmologist, those patients suspected to have refractive error were refracted objectively and subjectively using self illuminated retinoscope and refinement respectively. Cylindrical powers were refined first by the use of Jackson's cross cylinder at the appropriate axis followed by spherical refinement by fogging technique. Any error, stigmatic (spherical) or astigmatic (cylindrical) of ¼ diopter or more was considered an error and included in the analysis. This exercise was done by principal investigator in collaboration with a senior optometrist. Statistical computer software (SPSS v.13.0) package was used for data analysis and processing.

Results: A total of 2495 eyes were studied. The prevalence of refractive error was 79.7%. The mean age of the study subjects was 52 years. Most patients were in age group 18–27 which accounted for 21.56% of study population. Male to female ratio was 0.8:1. Emmetropia was found in 20.3% subjects. Astigmatism ranked the second among refractive errors. Hypermetropia was the commonest refractive error (43.4%) and myopia (17.4%) the least. Among those who were found to have astigmatism, 55% were females and 45% were males. Most patients had secondary education (46%) and were found to be in age group 18-47 years ($\chi^2 = 138$, df = 18, p = 0.000). Mixed astigmatism was the commonest type (43.1%), followed

by simple astigmatism (30.4%) and compound astigmatism (26.4%). Compound astigmatism occurred more significantly among males (36.9%) while mixed astigmatism was more common (53.1%) among females ($\chi^2 = 44.5$, df = 4, p = 0.000). The proportion of patients with mixed astigmatism decreased with increasing age. This finding was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 33.5$, df = 12, p = 0.001). Majority of patients with compound astigmatism had impaired vision ($\chi^2 = 65.0$, df = 4, p = 0.0001). Most patients (95.8%) improved with correction from impaired vision and blindness to normal vision. Patients with mixed astigmatism were the most symptomatic. The commonest symptoms were decreased vision, easy ocular fatigability and headache.

Conclusion: There is high prevalence of refractive error including astigmatism at MNH.

Recommendations:

- 1. Population based studies are required to obtain more accurate results that could reflect the prevalence of astigmatism in particular and refractive errors in general for the Dar es Salaam population.
- **2.** More refractive services should be made available at various areas for easy access. There is a clear need for "Vision 2020" to strengthen its strategies in achieving this as it will minimize the morbidity due to symptoms of astigmatism.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION	ii
DECLARATION AND COPYRIGHT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
DEDICATION	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABBREVIATIONS	ix
CHAPTER ONE	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.	8
1.3 RATIONALE	9
1.4 OBJECTIVES	10
1.41 Broad objective	10
1.42 Specific objectives	10
CHAPTER TWO	
2.0 METHODOLOGY	11
2.1 Study design.	11
2.2 Study area	1
2.3 Study population	11
2.4 Duration of study	11

	2.5 Sampling method.	11
	2.6 Inclusion criteria	11
	2.7 Exclusion criteria	11
	2.8 Research tools	11
	2.9 Data collection.	12
	2.10 Data management and analysis.	13
	2.11 Ethical clearance	13
CHAF	PTER THREE	
3.0 RE	ESULTS	14
CHAF	PTER FOUR	
4.0 DISCUSSION		
СНАР	PTER FIVE	
5.0 CONCLUSION. 24		
RECO	OMMENDATIONS	24
REFEI	RENCES	25
APPE	NDICES	
I.	QUESTIONNAIRE	29
II.	CONSENT (ENGLISH VERSION)	33
Ш	DODOSO (KISWAHILI VERSION)	.36

LIST OF TABLES OF RESULTS

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of patients at refraction unit at MNH	14
Table 2: The distribution of types of refractive error by age	15
Table 3: The distribution of sex and refractive error	15
Table 4: The association of age with type of astigmatism	16
Table 5: Association of sex with types of astigmatism	16
Table 6: The association of level of education with age	17
Table 7: Association between the type of astigmatism and presenting visual acuity	18
Table 8: The distribution of best corrected visual acuity and the type of astigmatism	18
Table 9: The distribution of presenting symptoms with the types of astigmatism	19

ABBREVIATIONS

CHA	
CMA	Compound myopic astigmatism
df	degree of freedom
MA	Mixed astigmatism
MNH	Muhimbili National Hospital
SHA	Simple hyperopic astigmatism
SMA	Simple myopic astigmatism
WHO	World Health Organisation